





Alberto J. Espay<sup>1</sup>, Dustin A. Heldman<sup>2</sup>, Robert Chen<sup>3</sup>, Sang-jin Kim<sup>3</sup>, Jennifer E. Vaughan<sup>1</sup>, Emily Dunn<sup>1</sup>, Andrew P. Duker<sup>1</sup>, Joseph P. Giuffrida<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, Neuroscience Institute : Gardner Family Center for Parkinson's Disease & Movement Disorders, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, <sup>2</sup>Cleveland Medical Devices Inc., Cleveland, OH, <sup>3</sup>University of Toronto, Department of Medicine, Division of Neurology, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

### Introduction

Although slowness (bradykinesia), decreased amplitude (hypokinesia), and dysrhythmia of movements may be associated with differential impairment and disability in Parkinson's disease (PD), clinicians are instructed to rate rapid alternating movements into a combined 0-4 severity scale through the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale motor subscale (UPDRS-III). Clinical raters consider multiple aspects of movement including speed, amplitude, hesitations, fatiguing, and arrests in movement. Previous research has shown that individual clinicians weigh individual components of bradykinesia differently, thus creating a considerable degree of variability across clinicians. The objective of this study is to evaluate motor function and response to dopaminergic medication in patients with PD with various impairments in speed, amplitude, and rhythm of movement.

### Methods

Eighty-five PD patients (Table 1) performed UPDRS-directed finger tapping, hand grasping, and pronation/supination tasks in the OFF (12-15 hours after dopaminergic drug withdrawal) and ON states while wearing wireless sixdegree-of-freedom motion sensors (KinetiSense<sup>™</sup>, CleveMed) on the index finger and thumb (Figure 1). Each motion sensor contains three orthogonal accelerometers for measuring linear acceleration and three orthogonal gyroscopes for measuring angular velocity. A Modified Bradykinesia Rating Scale (MBRS) separately assessed speed, amplitude, and rhythm during the tasks on a 0 4 scale (Table 2). Quantitative variables representing speed (rootmean-square [RMS] angular velocity), amplitude (RMS excursion angle), and rhythm (coefficient-of-variation) were extracted from kinematic data, correlated with clinical MBRS scores, and used to classify patients as hypokinetic, bradykinetic, dysrhythmic, or a combination of the three. Additionally, fatigue was measured as decreases in speed and/or amplitude during the last five seconds compared to the first five seconds of movement.

Table 1. Subject Demogra	75	
Age (yr) (mean ± SD [range])	64.6 ± 9.1 (46-85)	
Gender	56 men, 29 women	
Disease Duration (yr) (mean ± SD [range])	9.5 ± 5.6 (2-31)	
UPDRS-III OFF (0-108; high: worse) (mean ± SD [range])	25.7 ± 11.1 (4.5-66)	
UPDRS-III ON (0-108; high: worse) (mean ± SD [range])	16.7 ± 9.9 (1-60.5)	
Hoehn and Yahr OFF (0-5; high: worse) (mean ± SD [range])	2.4 ± 0.6 (1-5)	Figure 1. Motion se and thumb recorde
Hoehn and Yahr ON (0-5; high: worse) (mean ± SD [range])	2.2 ± 0.5 (1-4)	performed finger pronation/supination

Table 2. Modified Bradykinesia Rating			Scale <sup>1</sup>
Score	Speed	Amplitude	Rhythm
0	Normal	Normal	Regular, ongoing
1	Mild slowing	Mild reduction in amplitude in later performance, most movements close to normal	Mild impa in 10 sec
2	Moderate slowing	Moderate, reduction in amplitude visible early in performance but continues to maintain 50% amplitude through most of the tasks	Moderate 1 or 2 las
3	Severe slowing	Severe, less than 50% amplitude through most of the task	Severe, 5 more tha
4	Can barely perform the task	Can barely perform the task	Can bare
<sup>1</sup> A. Kishore,	A.J. Espay, C. Marras, T.	Al-Khairalla, T. Arenovich, A. Asante, J. Miyasaki, and A.E. Lang	g, "Unilateral v

Parkinson's disease: Differential effects on bradykinesia," Movement Disorders, vol. 22, 2007, pp. 328-333

# Differential Response of Speed, Amplitude, and Rhythm to **Dopaminergic Medications in Parkinson's Disease** CleveMed



ensors placed on the index finger ed kinematic data while subjects tapping, hand grasping, and on tasks.

> no arrests or pauses in airment, up to two brief arrests conds, none lasting > 1 second e, 3 to 4 arrests in 10 seconds: sting > 1 second or more arrests/10 seconds;

in 2 lasting > 1 second ely perform the task

versus bilateral tasks in early asymmetric

## **Subgroup Classification**







Figure 2. RMS excursion angle (A), RMS angular velocity (B), and coefficient of variation (**C**) are plotted versus clinician MBRS amplitude, speed, and rhythm scores, respectively. Correlation coefficients between the selected quantitative variables and the clinician MBRS scores are shown for each plot. These quantitative variables were used to classify subjects as bradykinetic, hypokinetic, and dysrhythmic. Quantitative variables corresponding to an average MBRS subjects of 1 or were used as category thresholds.

## **Clinical Results**

Figure 3. A: Across all subjects, MBRS amplitude scores were significantly worse than both speed and rhythm scores in the OFF state. However, in the ON state, only speed scores improved. B: UPDRS-III scores are subjects who were strictly bradykinetic, strictly hypokinetic, both bradykinetic and hypokinetic. UPDRS-III scores improved more significantly in subjects who were strictly bradykinetic or subjects who were both bradykinetic and hypokinetic than in subjects



## Quantitative Comparison

# Parkinson's Disease and NIH/NINDS 1R43NS065554-01A1